Application No. Applicant(s) 10/758.743 TURSKI ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art I Init JOHN HEFFINGTON 2172 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) JOHN HEFFINGTON. (3) _____ . (2) Jacob Rohwer. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 10 March 2011 Time: ____ Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Tyes TNo If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1, 25 and 28 Claims discussed: 1. 25. 28 Prior art documents discussed: Ortega Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner suggested amendments to the independent claims to put the claims in better condition for allowance as shown in the examiner amendment. Part III. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2172

/Boris Pesin/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)